

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/444,254 | 11/22/1999 | RAY F. BARNARD | EN999116 | EN999116 8410 | |
| 75 | 90 09/07/2004 | | EXAMINER | | |
| JACK FRIEDMAN | | | GORT, ELAINE L | | |
| SCHMEISER O | LSEN & WATTS | | | | |
| 3 LEAR JET LANE | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| SUITE 201 | | | 3627 | | |
| LATHAM, NY 12110 | | | DATE MAILED: 09/07/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/444,254 | BARNARD ET AL. | |
| · | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Elaine Gort | 3627 | |
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | orrespondence add | lress |
| THE REPLY FILED 28 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl | ation. A proper repl n places the applica | y to a ation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply | g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperture of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final | on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or |
| timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | FR 1.704(b). | | cuon, even ir |
| 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o | f the appeal. | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (s | see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or si | mplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE: | ng a corresponding number of fi | nally rejected claim | s. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed | amendment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | reconsideration has been consi e Continuation Sheet. | dered but does NO | T place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were | e newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 29-32. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr | oved or b) disapproved by t | he Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | · | |
| 10. Other: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments do not overcome the art rejection made in the final action for claims 29-32.

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600